

## ST790-003, Homework 3 (Updated Feb 17 @ 11PM)

Due Wednesday, Feb 25, 2015 @ 11:59PM

### Convex or Not?

Determine whether each of the following loss functions in statistics is convex or not. If it is convex, give a rigorous proof. If it is not convex, give a counter example. A concrete numerical example is perfect as a counter example. This is a *solo* homework. Discussion with fellow students is allowed but you have to write your code and report independently.

1. (Least squares, general least squares, and nonlinear least squares) Given data  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ , least squares criterion is

$$\ell(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta})^2.$$

General least squares criterion is

$$\ell(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})^T \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}),$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$  is a fixed  $n \times n$  positive definite matrix. Nonlinear least squares criterion is

$$\ell(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - f(\mathbf{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\beta}))^2.$$

2. ( $\ell_p$  regression) For a fixed  $p \in [0, \infty]$ ,  $\ell_p$  regression finds the regression coefficients  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  that minimizes the  $\ell_p$  norm of residual vector

$$\ell_p(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_p.$$

When  $p = 0$ , it is the best subset regression. When  $p = 1$ , it is the  $\ell_1$  regression. When  $p = 2$ , it is the least squares problem. When  $p = \infty$ , it is the  $\ell_\infty$  regression.

3. (Worst  $k$  error regression) Define absolute residuals  $r_i(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = |y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta}|$ . If our primary interest is to reduce the  $k$  largest approximation errors, then we seek regression coefficients  $\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^p$  that minimize

$$\ell(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_{i=1}^k r_{(i)}(\boldsymbol{\beta}),$$

where  $r_{(1)} \geq r_{(2)} \geq \dots \geq r_{(n)}$  are order statistics of absolute residuals. When  $k = 1$ , it reduces to  $\ell_\infty$  regression. When  $k = n$ , it reduces to  $\ell_1$  regression.

4. (Quantile regression) It is well known that the sample median of  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  is the minimizer of the sum of absolute deviations  $\sum_{i=1}^n |y_i - \xi|$ . Therefore  $\ell_1$  regression is called the median regression. Likewise, the  $\tau$ -th sample quantile,  $\tau \in (0, 1)$ , is the minimizer of  $\sum_{i=1}^n \rho_\tau(y_i - \xi)$ ,

where  $\rho_\tau(z) = z(\tau - I_{\{z < 0\}})$ . This leads to the quantile regression problem that minimizes the loss function

$$\ell_\tau(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \rho_\tau(y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta}).$$

The  $\tau = 1/2$  case recovers the  $\ell_1$  regression.

Plot the function  $\rho_\tau(z)$  for a couple of  $\tau$  values and determine whether the loss function  $\ell_\tau(\boldsymbol{\beta})$  is convex or not.

5. (Variance component model) Consider the multivariate normal model  $\mathbf{Y} \sim N(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\Omega})$ , where

$$\boldsymbol{\Omega} = \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i^2 \mathbf{V}_i$$

and  $\mathbf{V}_1, \dots, \mathbf{V}_m$  are  $m$  fixed positive semidefinite matrices. Parameters are mean effects  $\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^p$  and non-negative variance components  $\sigma_1^2, \dots, \sigma_m^2$ . The negative log-likelihood function is

$$\ell(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma_1^2, \dots, \sigma_m^2) = \frac{n}{2} \ln(2\pi) + \frac{1}{2} \ln \det \boldsymbol{\Omega} + \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})^T \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}).$$

6. (Linear mixed model) Consider the model  $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{Z}\boldsymbol{\gamma} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ , where  $\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^p$  are fixed effects,  $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{R})$  with  $\mathbf{R}$  unknown are random effects, and  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \sigma_0^2 \mathbf{I})$  are noises independent of  $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ . Write down the negative log-likelihood and determine whether it is convex or not.
7. (Gaussian mixture model) Gaussian mixture model assumes that a data point  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}^d$  comes from multivariate Gaussian distribution  $N(\boldsymbol{\mu}_j, \boldsymbol{\Omega}_j)$  with probability  $\pi_j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, k$ . Therefore the parameters of interest are  $k$  component probabilities  $(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_k)$ ,  $k$  component means  $(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\mu}_k)$ , and  $k$  component covariance matrices  $(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\Omega}_k)$ . Write down the negative log-likelihood and determine whether it is convex or not.
8. (Logistic regression and GLM) The negative log-likelihood of the logistic regression model is

$$\ell(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = - \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ y_i \mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta} - \ln \left( 1 + e^{\mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta}} \right) \right].$$

How about the negative log-likelihood of any generalized linear model (GLM) with canonical link?

9. (Gaussian covariance estimation) Suppose  $\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n \in \mathbb{R}^p$  are iid multivariate normal  $N(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ . Write down the negative log-likelihood function  $\ell(\boldsymbol{\Sigma})$  and determine whether it is convex or not.
10. (Gaussian precision matrix estimation) Suppose  $\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n \in \mathbb{R}^p$  are iid multivariate normal  $N(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1})$ . Write down the negative log-likelihood function  $\ell(\boldsymbol{\Omega})$  and determine whether it is convex or not.